

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are requested in view of the above the amendments and following remarks. Claims 1, 13, 24, 31-32 and 36 have been amended. Claims 1-3, and 5-36 are pending in the present application, with claims 1, 13 and 24 being independent.

Double Patenting

The Office Action has provisionally rejected claims 1-36 on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims in co-pending US. Patent Applications No. 10/690,422, 10/849,090, and 10/994,010. Applicants are filing three terminal disclaimers herewith. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

With regards to claims 1 and 13, these claims have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion and accordingly are not directed to statutory subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Office Action rejects Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 13-16, 19-21, 24-27, 30-32, 35 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as purportedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,346,700 to Gould et al. (hereinafter "*Gould*") in view of U.S. Publication No. 20050015455 to Liu (hereinafter "*Liu*") and in further view of US Patent No. 7,403,999 to *Corl, Jr et al.* (hereinafter "*Corl*") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,725,378 to Schuba et al (hereinafter "*Schuba*"). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Type of Response: Supplemental Response
Application Number: 10/728,023
Attorney Docket Number: 315549.01
Filing Date: December 3, 2003

The Office Action rejects Claims 6, 7, 17, 18, 33 and 34 as being rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being purportedly unpatentable over *Gould* and *Liu* in view of *Corl and Schuba* in further of U.S. Patent No. 7,117,358 to Bandini (hereinafter “*Bandini*”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

The Office Action rejects Claims 11, 12, 22, 23, 28 and 29 as being rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being purportedly unpatentable over *Gould* and *Liu* in view of *Corl and Schuba* in further of U.S. Publication No. 20030109248 to Lewis (hereinafter “*Lewis*”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

With regards to the above rejections, the Examiner indicated that claims as amended overcome the above rejections and accordingly the claims are in condition of allowance. Accordingly, the above rejections are moot.

Type of Response: Supplemental Response
Application Number: 10/728,023
Attorney Docket Number: 315549.01
Filing Date: December 3, 2003

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks it is submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art cited and that all the rejections to the claims have been overcome. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Type of Response: Supplemental Response
Application Number: 10/728,023
Attorney Docket Number: 315549.01
Filing Date: December 3, 2003

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, applicants hereby request any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0463.

Respectfully submitted,
Microsoft Corporation

Date: March 16, 2010

By: /Bryan E. Webster/

Bryan E Webster, Reg. No.: 47,214
Attorney for Applicants
Direct telephone: (425) 538-2731
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

March 16, 2010
Date

/Noemi Tovar/
Noemi Tovar

Type of Response: Supplemental Response
Application Number: 10/728,023
Attorney Docket Number: 315549.01
Filing Date: December 3, 2003